UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,926	08/21/2003	Kazutaka Saitoh	116896	7369
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 11/14/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER KASSA, HILINA S	
P.O. BOX 3208	350			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,926	SAITOH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>
•	Hilina S. Kassa	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communicated BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ T 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allocations of accordance with the practice under the condition of the co	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/644,926 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. The embodiment of an automatic printing restriction and control method for an image processing device on page 2, line 26-page 3, line 5.
- II. The embodiment of an automatic printing restriction and control method for an image processing device on page 3, lines 6-15.
- III. The embodiment of an image processing device on page 3, lines 16-23.

The species are independent or distinct because, claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would

Application/Control Number: 10/644,926

Art Unit: 2625

not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,926

Art Unit: 2625

Page 4

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the

examiner should be directed to Hilina Kassa whose telephone number is (571) 270-

1676.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Twyler Lamb could be reached at (571) 272-7406.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Hilina Kassa

November 7, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AMMAGASSA